1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND DIVISION	
10	DAVID OSTER, et al.,	Case No.: CV 09-04668 CW
11	)	Cusc 110 C 7 07 04000 C 17
12	Plaintiffs )	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS
13	v. )	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, DIRECTING NOTICE TO THE CLASS,
14 15	WILL LIGHTBOURNE, Director of the California Department of Social Services; TOBY DOUGLAS, Director of the California	AND SETTING A SCHEDULE AND FAIRNESS HEARING
16	Department of Health Care Services; (CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH) CARE SERVICES; and CALIFORNIA (CALIFORNIA)	Date: April 4, 2013 Time: 2:00 pm
17	DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, )	Courtroom: 2
18	Defendants )	
19		
20	Plaintiffs DAVID OSTER, WILLIE BEATRICE SHEPPARD, C.R. by and through his	
21	guardian ad litem M.R., DOTTIE JONES, ANDREA HYLTON, HELEN POLLY STERN,	
22 23	CHARLES THURMAN, and L.C. by and through her guardian ad litem M.G., have filed, and all	
24	parties support, a Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement Agreement, Directing	
25	Notice to the Class, and Setting a Schedule and Fairness Hearing (hereinafter "Preliminary	
26	Approval Motion"). The Court has considered Plaintiffs' moving papers including the proposed	
27 28	Settlement Agreement, Proposed Notice to Class Members, Proposed Notice Plan, and supporting	
		1

declarations and exhibits, as well as the arguments of counsel presented at a hearing on Plaintiffs' Preliminary Approval Motion held on Thursday, April 4, 2013. Classes and subclasses in this case have previously been certified under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) and need not be amended for purposes of settlement.

Based on review of these papers, the arguments of counsel, and this Court's familiarity with the case, the Court hereby finds and concludes that:

- 1. The Settlement Agreement is the result of serious, informed, non-collusive negotiations between the parties, has no obvious deficiencies, does not improperly grant preferential treatment to class representatives or segments of the class, and falls within the range of possible settlement approval such that notice to the Class is appropriate.
- 2. The Proposed Class Notice allows class members a full and fair opportunity to consider the proposed Settlement Agreement and it fairly, plainly, accurately, and reasonably informs Class Members of the nature of this litigation, the identity of Class Counsel, the essential terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Court's procedures for final approval of the Settlement Agreement, class members' right to comment or object if they desire, and how to obtain additional information regarding this litigation and the Settlement Agreement. The Proposed Class Notice thus satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and due process.
- 3. The Proposed Notice Plan is a reasonable method to inform class members of the Settlement and satisfies the notice requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 as well as all other applicable legal and due process requirements.

## IT IS THEREFORE HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Settlement Agreement is preliminarily approved, subject to notice to the class and final review and approval at the Fairness Hearing as provided for below.

28